

Colin Crisp Award criteria

For conservation projects

1. Relative to Engineers Australia's purpose of *advancing society through great engineering*

The extent to which the project contributes to social and economic benefits or quality of life within the community at local, regional or national levels. How the project has conformed to the current relevant legislation and standards and has followed the guidance provided by [An engineer's guide to the conservation of Australia's engineering heritage](#), the Burra Charter or other relevant documents.

2. Formulation of resourceful, innovative and elegant engineering solutions or pioneering use of materials or methods

The significance of the project as a benchmark of Australian engineering. How it demonstrates innovation and sets new benchmarks, thereby raising the standard and standing of Australian engineering relevant to heritage engineering.

3. Contribution to sustainable, inclusive and ethical practices

How the project has incorporated sound contemporary ethical, environmental, health, safety and security principles including Engineers Australia's purpose and strategic vision. What contribution to the support and recognition of equity, diversity and inclusion is apparent in the engineering of the project.

4. Extent to which the work has delivered excellence

The highlights or key successes of the project and how it has delivered engineering excellence within the scope of the submission.

For documentation projects

1. Relative to Engineers Australia's purpose of *advancing society through great engineering*

The extent to which the work contributes awareness of engineering heritage and social benefit or quality of life within the community at local, regional or national levels. The extent to which the work has followed the guidance provided by [An engineer's guide to the conservation of Australia's engineering heritage](#), particularly in relation to intangible heritage.

2. Formulation of a resourceful, innovative and elegant solution or pioneering use of a method

How the work demonstrates innovation or presents a high standard, and the extent to which it contributes to the store of records in Australian engineering heritage.

3. Contribution to inclusive and ethical practices

How the work has incorporated sound contemporary ethical principles including Engineers Australia's purpose and strategic vision.

4. Extent to which the work has delivered excellence

The highlights or key successes of the product and how it has delivered engineering excellence within the scope of the submission.

5. Other relevant information

Any further information relating to the work product that exemplifies its importance to modern engineering practice and the guidance it affords to current professionals in the specifics of their specialisation.

Terms and conditions

- Nominations may be made by members of Engineers Australia
- Projects must have been completed within a reasonable time before the nomination so that they still possess a reasonable degree of currency.
- Nominations and submissions will be treated as confidential to the EHA Board.
- This award is open to members and non-members of Engineers Australia.
- The decisions of the judges is final. No correspondence concerning their decisions shall be entered into.

Submission guidelines

- Submissions should address all the selection criteria where relevant and encompass at least one of the criteria in substantial detail.
- Submission information shall be provided by attachment to the submission nomination form, where specified.
- Submissions should be a maximum of 6000 words or 12 pages in 12-point font (Arial or Times New Roman), excluding attachments.
- There is no limit on the size of attachments.