

Filing cover & attestation

Petition #1 — (a) Suspension of an affiliate party

FILED BY

Caryn Harlos is a lifetime member of the Party.

ATTESTATION

This amicus brief was filed by Caryn Harlos on their own behalf, who is a lifetime member of the Party, and who adopts, incorporates, endorses, and accepts ownership of the material contained within as their own, has signed this document accordingly, and attests that it is accurate and not filed to harass, delay, or for any improper purpose.

**LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE ("LPNH" or "Appellant")
V.
LIBERTARIAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE ("LNC" or "Appellee")**

In re: Disaffiliation for Cause

**AMICUS OF CARYN ANN HARLOS DATED AUGUST 9, 2026
IN SUPPORT OF LNC**

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL LIBERTARIAN PARTY
JUDICIAL COMMITTEE ("JC")**

INTRODUCTION

Here I am again, like a bad penny. I was up in the mountains and thoughts run wild. This is an additional response to Mr. Jacobs. I have reviewed the three that came in afterwards, and they are more than adequately addressed by prior amici. One is extraordinarily disappointing considering their prior recantment of their racist pre-Libertarian past.

LPNH RESCINDED ITS ENDORSEMENT

While I addressed this in my August 8, 2026 amicus, I believe Mr. Jacobs said something incorrect when he said “On 6/4/26, 19 months after the Trump endorsement was adopted, it was repudiated, i.e. rescinded.” While it remains irrelevant whether or not it was rescinded as that would have no effect as it existed at the time of disaffiliation, it was not, in fact rescinded. Why? It could not be under RONR 35:6(b) as it is “something [that] has been done, as a result of the vote on the main motion, that is impossible to undo.” Trump was endorsed for his bid to be elected President. After that election was over, it is impossible to undo an endorsement. LPNH endorsed a member of another party for partisan political office. That cannot be undone except prior to election. And even if it were then, they still did it. Ask the Trump-regretters. While they now regret their votes, they cannot undo the damage it did to their souls. They can only try to do better and the estranged family are under no obligation to give them that chance, particularly if they believe the guilty family member would just do it again.

LPNH KNOWLEDGE OF LPNM

Mr. Jacobs stated, “As the New Mexico situation is well known in the Party, and has been in the news as it is in litigation, the LPNH could have expected some similar to a warning letter.” That is not exactly true when it comes to the wider Party. The original disaffiliation happened in 2022 which is 15,549 controversies ago. Except for some particularly involved members in some caucuses, the details of the 2022 disaffiliation are lost to time (despite being on lpedia.org which is a niche site). The trademark is more particularly known but that knowledge does not extend to the letters sent to the LPNM detailing convention violations. I would reasonably bet the knowledge of those letters is limited to under 50 people (to be generous) and none of them in New Hampshire. While I already detailed how LPNH in fact was on knowledge of its violations, that assertion needed to be addressed, though both of us are just guessing.

Respectfully submitted,

Caryn Ann Harlos, Lifetime Member