

Libertarian National Judicial Committee

Petitioner: Jonathan M. Jacobs

vs.

Respondent: Libertarian National Committee

**RE: JUDICIAL COMMITTEE APPEAL TO VOID CERTAIN
RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED AT THE JUNE 9, 2025 SPECIAL MEETING
OF THE LIBERTARIAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE**

Petitioner Response to the Harlos Objection of August 12, 2025

August 13, 2025

Caryn Ann Harlos, the former Secretary, raising an objection to the petitioner, a sustaining member of the Party, exercising a right of membership, representing fellow Party members in an appeal. She even admits that no rule was or is being broken. Her objection is frivolous and if adopted, would be a violation of the rights of membership of the respondent. The Libertarian Party Judicial Committee should reject any objection on this ground.

The petitioner does do work, formally and informally, for various Libertarian Party groups, including the Mises Caucus. However, this has been disclosed to the Libertarian Party Chair, Steven Nekhaila, since February 2025. The petitioner will be happy to disclose the nature of the initial contact with Chairman Nekhaila if asked at the hearing. Her claim that this was not disclosed is untrue.

Ms. Harlos claimed, "It is certainly her [Ms. McArdle] right to appeal through Mr. Jacobs." Ms. McArdle did not suggest the appeal, however. The petitioner is the person who recommended the appeal. He began doing so during the June 9th meeting, after seeing an egregious violation of the notice requirements. Rest assured, the petitioner would not be filing this appeal, if he did not agree to it.

Further, if he was acting as Ms. McArdle's "proxy," he probably would not be saying, "The LNC may adopt a motion expressing a very negative opinion [of] Ms. McArdle's conduct, even beyond the point of that motion being libelous." That is not the kind of thing the "boss" would want you to claim.

Finally, Ms. Harlos asks "in cases of doubt, the Judicial Committee should weigh on the side of the LNC who conducted the investigation thoroughly and to the good of the Party to avoid future abuses of power by those in positions of high trust. I would urge the Judicial Committee to read the Special Investigatory Committee report, particularly the sections on

Swing Vote Strategist and Freedom Calls.” In this appeal, that is not before the Libertarian Party Judicial Committee.

What is before the Libertarian Party Judicial Committee in this appeal is if there was proper notice for these resolutions and if the LNC can claim to create a criteria for holding office in the Party. Let all of us focus on that and not Ms. Harlos’s frivolous and pejorative “objection.”