

Subject: Re: Ryan Brown Amicus Brief - Disaffiliation of the Libertarian Party of New Hampshire
From: Ryan Brown <reb1995@yahoo.com>
Date: 7/15/26, 18:03
To: Ken Moellman <ken.moellman@lpstates.com>

I don't see a mess anywhere. I just see a brief submitted by a sustaining member rejected. If the issue is going to be taken up at the next meeting, it seems like it is important enough to take the submission as presented, consider all the briefs that we submitted by other members and parties, and then clarify rules later.

Finally, the only way to reject any item from consideration is with a vote of 5 members under 2.8(j). Was there an actual vote taken by the Committee to reject my submission that took place between 15:31 and 17:02? If not, it appears the rules were not followed as strictly as the submission rules seem to be read.

Thank you,
Ryan

On 7/15/26 17:48, Ken Moellman wrote:

I agree but it says it's a brief of the LPNC in the document itself. That's what caused the confusion. Organizations can't file an amicus.

All this mess over the state parties trying to file is going to be taken up officially at our next meeting but the entire committee has been voicing support of denying amicus's filed as an organization. It needs to be filed as a sustaining member.

I will ask the committee what they think when I get home. This isn't me choosing this unilaterally despite what might be said in the inaccurate hit piece put out on me recently.

Ken

--

Sent from [Canary](#)

On Wednesday, Jul 15, 2026 at 5:39 PM, Ryan Brown <reb1995@yahoo.com> wrote:

This was filed by me, a sustaining member. I own the amicus brief. Under 7.1 I am allowed to submit a brief. If I were not a sustaining member, I would not be eligible to submit a brief. However, I am a sustaining member and I am submitting this brief. Please consider this brief as originally submitted.

Second, was this initial submission formally rejected by the Judicial Committee following the rules set forth in 2.8(j)? If so, I would like a roll

call of how the members of the committee voted.

Thank you,
Ryan

On 7/15/26 17:02, Ken Moellman wrote:

Hi Ryan,

I was just alerted by another member of the committee that the amicus is filed as an amicus by the LPNC and not as yourself.

Unfortunately we cannot accept amicus filings on behalf of an organization, only on behalf of individuals.

It's a weird nuance, but basically we need amicus briefs submitted by sustaining members not on behalf of organizations.

The easy way around this is to wrap the amicus from the state with an amicus of a sustaining member themselves. The sustaining member then "owns" the amicus.

My apologies for the confusion. I am at a customer site today. In the future we will have a system that automates this process and makes it more clear.

Ken

--

Sent from [Canary](#)

On Wednesday, Jul 15, 2026 at 4:17 PM, Ryan Brown
<reb1995@yahoo.com> wrote:

Thank you Ken,

Understand the intricacies of forwarding these emails around. Hopefully I didn't cause any problems. Here is the latest active membership export with my membership row.

zcrm_id	Full Name	Mailing Street	Mailing City	StateProvince	Mailing Zip	Email	Phone	DoNotPhone	DoNotMail	DoNotSms	Email Opt Out	Type	Date of Start	Date	Date of Expiration
---------	-----------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------------	-------	-------	------------	-----------	----------	---------------	------	---------------	------	--------------------

Datezcrm_6106855000020725896	Ryan Brown	2009	FRANCES CT	CREEDMOOR	North Carolina	27522	reb1995@yahoo.com	+19198459167	No	Yes	No	No	Standard	Feb 08, 2021	Oct 01, 2026
------------------------------	------------	------	------------	-----------	----------------	-------	--	--------------	----	-----	----	----	----------	--------------	--------------

Thank you,
Ryan

On 7/15/26 16:11, ken.moellman@lpstates.com wrote:

Mr. Brown,

While I haven't had a chance to thoroughly review the amicus brief, it appears to be facially in-order. This appears to be an amicus filing submitted by you, as a sustaining member of the national party, in support of the appellant. I do not currently see any flaws. The brief has been received and to avoid being the bottleneck (I'm at work right now) I have passed the amicus along to the committee for consideration, as well as carbon-copied our internal committee business list.

I have removed the chair of LPNH from the CC list because their attorney directed us to send all communication from our committee through him (and I don't have his address handy, because I'm at work). Because I don't think it's right to be inconsistent, I have also removed the chair of the LNC as the respondent in the appeal. You can obviously forward this message along to whomever you like.

I will contact national party staff to validate national sustaining membership, out of necessity, unless you are able to provide that information. While I believe that our rules technically say that burden is on the person submitting, I will try to be proactively helpful where I can. In the future, I am hoping to have a system to do all of the matching of memberships as part of an automated filing process.

Thank you,
Ken Moellman
Chair, Judicial Committee

On 2026-07-15 15:31, Ryan Brown wrote:

Mr Moellman and members of the Judicial Committee,

Please find my attached amicus brief in the matter of the Disaffiliation of the Libertarian Party of New Hampshire. I write this in support of the LPNH affiliate. For completeness, I have also included chair@lpnh.org and chair@lp.org on this email.

Please confirm receipt of the document and please confirm that you are taking it under consideration.

Thank you,
Ryan